

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/627,065                | 07/24/2003  | Kamaljit S. Paul     | 29678-1             | 2221            |
| 23482                     | 7590 03/21/ | ·                    | EXAMINER            |                 |
| WILHELM LAW SERVICE, S.C. |             |                      | REIMERS, ANNETTE R  |                 |
| 100 W LAW<br>THIRD FLO    |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
| APPLETON, WI 54911        |             |                      | 3733                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | E.  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10/627,065  | PAUL, KAMALJIT S.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Annette R. Reimers  | 3733  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE. | I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2005.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 63-90 is/are pending in the application.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>63-90</u> are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  | priority under 35 LLS C. & 119(a)   | -(d) or (f)   |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  | s have been received in Applicati   | on No   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | d   |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   | . di  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 6) Other:   | and the second of the second  |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Application/Control Number: 10/627,065

Art Unit: 3733

*>* •

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 63-84, drawn to a device for stabilizing adjacent vertebrae, classified in class 606, subclass 69.
- II. Claims 85-90, drawn to a spinal plate assembly, classified in class 606, subclass 61.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed, because the combination does not require a retainer having an initial position where at least a first portion of the retainer partially intersects the bore, a passage position wherein the head of the anchor inserted into the bore has passed the retainer as the anchor is driven to secure the plate to the respective vertebra, and a retention position where the at least a first portion of the retainer partially intersects the bore and is located proximal to the top surface of the head, wherein the retainer has a closed end portion which is stabilized with respect to the plate and has generally straight portions extending from the closed end and across

Application/Control Number: 10/627,065

Art Unit: 3733

d) \*

at least a portion of the bore and wherein the retainer is biased to return to the initial position after the head has passed the retainer thereby to extend across a portion of the bore and prevent back-out of the anchor. The subcombination has separate utility such as a bone plate.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/627,065

Art Unit: 3733

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Annette R. Reimers whose telephone number is (571) 272-7135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AR

EDUARDÓ Ó ROBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER